Application No.: 10/588,741

Filing Date: October 30, 2007

REMARKS

Claims 1-3, 6-10, and 13-25 stand rejected. By this paper, Applicant Amends Claims 1,

10, and 14 and cancels Claims 2, 3, and 15. Applicant submits that amended Claims 1, 10, and 14

find support throughout the specification, including, for example, in Figures 5a and 5b and in the

section of the detailed description titled "Second Embodiment." Applicant requests entry of

amended Claims 1, 10, and 14 as the amendments place the claims in condition for allowance.

Discussion of Claims Rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a)

Pending Claims 1, 6, 9-10, 13-14, and 16-25 are rejected as obvious under 35 U.S.C §

103(a) over Hierarchical Mobile IPv6 mobility management (HMIPv6) ("Soliman") in view of

U.S Patent No. 6,915,325 ("Lee"), and Claims 7 and 8 are rejected as obvious under 35 U.S.C §

103(a) Soliman in view of Lee and further in view of U.S. Publication No. 2002/0015396

("Jung").

Applicant respectfully submits that these references, alone or in combination, fail to teach

or disclose all of the features of amended independent Claims 1, 10 and 14. Further, in light of

the interview with the Examiner, Applicant respectfully submits that each of Claims 1, 10, and 14

are in condition for allowance and respectfully requests that the Examiner withdraw all

outstanding rejections to these claims.

Dependent Claims 6-9, 13, and 16-25

Applicant does not necessarily agree with the characterization and assessments of the art

with respect to the dependent claims, and respectfully submits that the dependent claims are in

condition for allowance at least because of the features they include from the independent claims

from which they depend and because of their own features.

Accordingly Applicant respectfully requests allowance of Claims 1, 6-10, 13-14, and 16-

25.

-7-

Application No.:

10/588,741

Filing Date:

October 30, 2007

No Disclaimers or Disavowals

Although the present communication may include alterations to the application or claims,

or characterizations of claim scope or referenced art, Applicant is not conceding in this

application that previously pending claims are not patentable over the cited references. Rather,

any alterations or characterizations are being made to facilitate expeditious prosecution of this

application. Applicant reserves the right to pursue at a later date any previously pending or other

broader or narrower claims that capture any subject matter supported by the present disclosure,

including subject matter found to be specifically disclaimed herein or by any prior prosecution.

Accordingly, reviewers of this or any parent, child or related prosecution history shall not

reasonably infer that Applicant has made any disclaimers or disavowals of any subject matter

supported by the present application.

Conclusion

Applicant has endeavored to address all of the Examiner's concerns as expressed in the

outstanding Office Action. In light of the above amendments and remarks, reconsideration and

withdrawal of the outstanding rejections is respectfully requested. If the Examiner finds any

remaining impediment to the prompt allowance of the pending claims that could be clarified with

a telephone conference, the Examiner is respectfully requested to initiate the same with the

undersigned.

Please charge any additional fees, including any fees for additional extension of time, or

credit overpayment to Deposit Account No. 11-1410.

Respectfully submitted,

KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSON & BEAR, LLP

Dated: January 24, 2012

By:

John M. Carson

Registration No. 34,303

Attorney of Record

Customer No. 20,995

(858) 707-4000

AMEND 12601992/011812

-8-